



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/829,223	04/09/2001	Edward J. Koplar	55121-88021	8583
22807	7590	01/25/2006		
GREENSFELDER HEMKER & GALE PC SUITE 2000 10 SOUTH BROADWAY ST LOUIS, MO 63102				
			EXAMINER SRIVASTAVA, VIVEK	
			ART UNIT 2617	PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED: 01/25/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO./ CONTROL NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR / PATENT IN REEXAMINATION	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
---------------------------------	-------------	---	---------------------

09/829,223

EXAMINER

VIVEK SRIVASTAVA

ART UNIT	PAPER
----------	-------

2617

DATE MAILED:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner for Patents

See attached.

VIVEK SRIVASTAVA
PRIMARY EXAMINER

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

The reply filed on 10/27/05 is not fully responsive to the prior Office Action because of the following omission(s) or matter(s): The MPEP states in section 714.02 "The requirements of CFR 1.111(b) must be complied with by pointing out the specific distinctions believed to render the claims patentable over the references in presenting arguments in support of new claims and amendments".

The MPEP further states "A general allegation that the claims define a patentable invention without pointing out how the language of the claims patentably distinguishes them from the references does not comply with the requirements of this section".

The response is silent as to how new independent claims 54 and 73 are patentable over the references.

See 37 CFR 1.111. Since the above-mentioned reply appears to be *bona fide*, applicant is given **ONE (1) MONTH or THIRTY (30) DAYS** from the mailing date of this notice, whichever is longer, within which to supply the omission or correction in order to avoid abandonment. EXTENSIONS OF THIS TIME PERIOD MAY BE GRANTED UNDER 37 CFR 1.136(a).



VIVEK SRIVASTAVA
PRIMARY EXAMINER